Reduction of risk behavior among MSM in Senegal after targeted prevention interventions • ELIHoS project ANRS 12139

Wade. A, Larmarange J., Diop A.K., Diop O., Gueye K., Marra A., Sene A., Enel C., Ba S., Niang Diallo P., Toure Kane N. C., Mboup S., Desgrées du Loû A.



Background

In Senegal, an epidemiological survey conducted in 2004 among 463 men having sex with men (MSM) revealed a high HIV prevalence (21.5%) and high proportions of unprotected sex and bisexual activity (Wade, 2005). The health authorities concluded that not giving MSM access to health care could compromise all the efforts achieved in Senegal in combating HIV.

Consecutively, interventions targeting MSM were developped. At the beginning of 2005 we identified three on-going interventions targeting MSM in Senegal: an access to health care program for STIs and for HIV, a campaign to raise awareness on sexual risk and an appeal in defence of MSM targeting decision makers.

A second survey carried out in 2007 measured the evolution of HIV and STIs prevalence among MSM and assessed the impact of these preventive operations.

Methods

The study was carried out at three sites: Dakar, the capital city, Mbour/Thiès, a seaside tourist area, and Saint-Louis, a medium-sized town in the northern part of the country. It included both a quantitative and a qualitative component.

The quantitative part has focused on collecting sociodemographic, behavioral and biomedical data, based on a face-to-face close-ended standardized questionnaire submitted to 501 MSM recruited through the *snowball* referral method. Participants were offered to undergo a clinical examination and to provide blood and urine samples to be tested for STIs and HIV. The biological and behavioral indicators were compared to those collected during the 2004 survey.

Results

The HIV prevalence among MSM remained globally stable, but it decreased among the youngest. Prevalence of other STIs (HSV2, Syphilis, Gonorrhea, Chlamydia) decreased.

Frequencies of various sexual practices didn't change between 2004 and 2007, but systematic condom use increased significantly irrespect of the practice and the sex of the partner.

HIV and STI prevalence

| STI | 2004 | | | | 2007 | p-value | |
|--|------|----------|-----------|------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| | % | (n/N) | 95% CI | % | (n/N) | 95% CI | (Taillard test) |
| HIV | 22.4 | (94/420) | 18.6-26.8 | 21.8 | (109/500) | 18.3-25.7 | 0.214 |
| - among 18-20 years | 9.1 | (11/121) | 4.9-16.1 | 7.0 | (11/158) | 3.7-12.5 | 0.144 |
| - among 21-23 years | 28.4 | (21/74) | 18.8-40.2 | 19.7 | (23/117) | 13.1-28.3 | 0.039 |
| HSV2 | 23.3 | (95/407) | 19.3-27.8 | 20.6 | (103/500) | 17.2-24.5 | 0.067 |
| Syphilis | 5.0 | (21/420) | 3.2-7.7 | 3.4 | (17/500) | 2.1-5.5 | 0.053 |
| Gonocoque | 5.5 | (23/420) | 3.6-8.3 | 2.6 | (13/500) | 1.5-4.5 | 0.005 |
| Chlamydia | 4.0 | (17/420) | 2.4-6.5 | 3.2 | (16/500) | 1.9-5.3 | 0.129 |
| 95% CI: confidence interval at 95% (Wilson score with continuity correction). Undetermined results excluded. | | | | | | | |



Senegal

Sociodemographic caracteristics

| in % | 2004 n=441 | 2007 n=501 | in % | 2004 n=441 | 2007 n=501 |
|--|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Site #### | | | Occupation #### | | |
| • Dakar | 67.3 | 61.1 | • None | 10.2 | 5.6 |
| Saint-Louis | 10.2 | 19.0 | Student | 14.5 | 25.9 |
| • Mbour/Thiès | 22.4 | 20.0 | • Trade | 17.5 | 19.4 |
| Age groups | | | • Employee | 3.6 | 3.8 |
| • 18-19 | 19.3 | 19.4 | Hairdresser, beautician or artist | 8.8 | 5.0 |
| • 20-24 | 34.0 | 38.7 | Waiter, bartender or in tourism | 6.1 | 6.6 |
| • 25-29 | 29.0 | 22.2 | • Tailor | 11.8 | 10.5 |
| • 30-34 | 13.4 | 13.4 | Manual worker or driver | 27.4 | 23.2 |
| • 35 and more | 4.3 | 6.4 | Ever participated in a preven- | | |
| Education | | | tion program for MSM **** | 22.7 | 58.7 |
| Never been to school | 17.5 | 14.0 | Member of a MSM's NGO **** | 11.1 | 40.9 |
| • Primary | 39.7 | 38.9 | In couple † | | |
| • Secondary | 38.3 | 40.1 | • No | - | 74.9 |
| • Higher | 4.5 | | • With a man | - | 18.6 |
| Lives with his family † | - | 89.8 | With a woman | - | 6.6 |

Last month sexual practices

| | | | - | | | | | |
|--|---------|------|-----------|---------|---------------|----------------------------|---------------|--|
| Last month sexual practices | Year | | frequency | | | with systematic condom use | | |
| Last month sexual practices | rear | % | (n/N) | p-value | % | (n/N) | p-value | |
| With a man | | | | | | | | |
| insertive anal sex | 2004 | 60.6 | (180/397) | 0.0102 | 42.8 | (77/180) | <0.0001 | |
| | 2007 | 39.1 | (196/501) | 0.0102 | 77.6 | (152/196) | \0.000 | |
| receptive anal sex | 2004 | 37.5 | (149/197) | 0.1242 | 41.6 | (62/149) | <0.0001 | |
| | 2007 | 39.5 | (198/501) | 0.1242 | 75.3 | (148/198) | \0.000 | |
| oral sex | 2004 | 42.3 | (168/397) | 0.0767 | 8.9 | (15/168) | 0.0460 | |
| | 2007 | 39.3 | (197/501) | | 13.2 | (26/197) | | |
| commercial sex | 2004 | 23.4 | (93/397) | 0.0586 | 34.4 | (32/93) | <0.0001 | |
| (received money) | 2007 | 26.5 | (133/501) | | 72.2 | (96/133) | | |
| commercial sex | 2004 | 5.3 | (21/397) | 0.2736 | 28.6 | (6/21) | <0.0001 | |
| (gave money) | 2007 | 5.2 | (26/501) | | 84.6 | (22/26) | | |
| With a women | | | | | | | | |
| vaginal sex | 2004 | 32.7 | (130/397) | 0.0967 | 45.4 (59/130) | (59/130) | 0.0013 | |
| | 2007 | 30.3 | (152/501) | 0.0907 | 61.2 | (93/152) | | |
| commercial sex | 2004 | 2.8 | (11/397) | 0.0058 | 27.3 | (3/11) | 0.0544 | |
| (received money) | 2007 | 0.8 | (4/501) | | 75.0 | (3/4) | | |
| commercial sex | 2004 | 3.5 | (14/397) | 0.1668 | 50.0 | (7/14) | 0.1635 | |
| (gave money) | 2007 | 4.2 | (21/501) | | 61.9 | (13/21) | | |
| p-value: Taillard test of comparison 200 | 4-2007. | | | | | | | |

Conclusion

Prevention interventions targeted towards men having sex with men led to a reduction of risk behaviours in this group, showing their efficiency. Nevertheless, HIV remains high (22% versus 1% in the general population). Prevention efforts and access to care must be reinforced in this high-risk group.







